Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol - B35 South P.O.Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882	Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:	Registering in Favor: but <u>not</u> speaking:  Registering Against: but <u>not</u> speaking:	Speaking in Favor:  Speaking Against:	(City and Zip Code)  (Representing)	(NAME) (Street Address or Route Number)		SENATE HEARING SLIP  (C) Sel (Please Print Plainly)  (C) Sel (Please Print Plainly)
Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY.  Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol – B35 South P.O.Box 7882  Madison, WI 53707-7882	Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:	Registering in Favor:  but <u>not</u> speaking:  Registering Against:  but <u>not</u> speaking:	Speaking Against:	(City and Zip Code)  (City and Zip Code)  (City and Zip Code)  (Representing)  Speaking in Favor:	(NAME)  NAME  NAME  NAME  NAME  NAME  NAME  Number  Number	BILL NO. S SSS	SENATE HEARING SLIP  (Please Print Plainly)

(Please
Print
Plainly)

BILL NO.	DATE:
5356	8-29-01

SUBJECT

Registering Against: but <u>not</u> speaking:	Registering in Favor: but <u>not</u> speaking:	Speaking Against:	Speaking in Favor:	Representing)	City and Zip Code) 分FSCMと	II.	(Street Address or Route Number)	1000 CARRINGE WAY	Jim CARUTY
			X			53156	nber)	AY	

Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:

Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY.

Senate Sergeant-At-Arms State Capitol – B35 South

P.O.Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

(Please Print Plainly)

DATE: 8-29-01

BILL NO. <u>SB - S6</u>

**SUBJECT** 

Scott O. SHART (NAME) 132 Que

(Street Address or Route Number)

(City and Zip Code)

(Representing)

Speaking in Favor:

Registering in Favor:

Speaking Against:

but not speaking:

Registering Against:

but <u>not</u> speaking:

Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:

Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY.
Senate Sergeant-At-Arms
State Capitol – B35 South
P.O.Box 7882
Madison, WI 53707-7882

# SENATE HEARING SLIP

(Please Print Plainly)

BILL NO. 58-56

SUBJECT SNOW p/pw

Pollowing distance

Kim Pla

(NAME)

3614 172 St.

(Street Address or Route Number)

PACINE W)

(City and Zip Code)

(Representing)

Speaking in Favor:

Speaking Against:



Registering in Favor:

but not speaking:

Registering Against:

but not speaking:

Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:

Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY.
Senate Sergeant-At-Arms
State Capitol – B35 South
P.O.Box 7882
Madison, WI 53707-7882

# SENATE HEARING SLIP

(Please Print Plainly)

BILL NO.

SUBJECT \_\_\_\_\_

Beth Smith

(NAME)

Madison Wi 537 (Street Address or Route Number)

(City and Zip Code)

AFSCME COUNCY!

(Representing)

Speaking in Favor:

Speaking Against:

Registering in Favor:



Z me Ande of Curvan

Registering Against:

but <u>not</u> speaking:

Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:

Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY
Senate Sergeant-At-Arms
State Capitol – B35 South
P.O.Box 7882
Madison, WI 53707-7882

(Please Print Plainly)

BILL NO. DATE: 856

SUBJECT

(NAME)

(Street Address or Route Number)

(City and Zip Code)

1/1/10/

(Representing)

Speaking in Favor:

Speaking Against:

Registering in Favor:

but not speaking

Registering Against:

but not speaking:

only; Neither for nor against: Speaking for information

Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY State Capitol - B35 South Senate Sergeant-At-Arms

Madison, WI 53707-7882

P.O.Box 7882

SENATE HEARING SLIP

(Please Print Plainly)

BILL NO. S. B. DATE: X - 09 - 0

SUBJECT Svewplur Bied

かみへへ MADSON

10 N. Welson St

(Street Address or Route Number)

Clar . EH

(City and Zip Code)

Utagamie outy board of Juperus of

(Representing)

Speaking in Favor:

Speaking Against:

Registering in Favor:

but not speaking:

Registering Against: but not speaking:

Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:

Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. Senate Sergeant-At-Arms P.O.Box 7882 State Capitol - B35 South Madison, WI 53707-7882

# SENATE HEARING SLIP

(Please Print Plainly)

DATE:\_ BILL NO. 00,0

SUBJECT

DAVID か、 人へみせる

SIS West Moreland Blud

(Street Address or Route Number)

Wankeska

53/88

(City and Zip Code) Naukeska 1000

(Representing)

Speaking in Favor:

Speaking Against:

Registering in Favor:

but not speaking:

Registering Against: but not speaking

only; Neither for nor against: Speaking for information

Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY State Capitol - B35 South Senate Sergeant-At-Arms P.O.Box 7882

Madison, WI 53707-7882

(Please Print Plainly)

SUBJECT SNOW 1/600 BILL NO. 56-56 August 29, 2001

(NAME) Derpe

(Street Address or Route Number)

1010

(City and Zip Code) rewosha 53140

(Representing) ENOSKE Corno-

Speaking in Favor:

Speaking Against:

Registering in Favor:

but not speaking:

Registering Against:

but not speaking:

Speaking for information only; Neither for nor against:

Please return this slip to a messenger PROMPTLY. Senate Sergeant-At-Arms Madison, WI 53707-7882 P.O.Box 7882 State Capitol - B35 South

## Vote Record

## Senate - Committee on Insurance, Tourism, and Transportation

Date: <b>8/29/0)</b> Moved by: <b>8/</b>		Seconded by:		
AB: SB: AJR: SJR: AR: SR:	56	Clearinghouse Rule: Appointment: Other:		
A/S Amdt: A/S Amdt: A/S Sub Amdt: A/S Amdt: A/S Amdt:	to A/S Amdt: to A/S Sub Amdt: to A/S Sub Amdt:		to A/S Sub Am	ndt:
Be recommended for:  Passage Introduction Adoption Rejection		Indefinite Postponem Tabling Concurrence Nonconcurrence Confirmation	ent	
Committee Member Sen. Roger Breske, Chair Sen. Richard Grobschmidt Sen. Jim Baumgart Sen. Alan Lasee Sen. Dale Schultz		Aye No	Absent	Not Voting
	Totals: _			
Moti	on Carried	Motion F	ailed	The second section is a second se



## KIMBERLY M. PLACHE

## STATE SENATOR • TWENTY FIRST SENATE DISTRICT

March 26, 2001

Sen. Roger Breske
Committee on Insurance, Tourism
And Transportation
Room 18 South - State Capitol
Madison WI 53707

Dear Sen. Breske:

I write to request that you hold a public hearing on Senate Bill 56, which relates to following a snowplow.

As you may recall from previous sessions, this bill would require persons following a snowplow in operation to remain at least 200 feet behind the plow.

Please schedule a public hearing on SB 56 at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerely,

Klimberly M. Plache State Senator

KP:ja



## **Wisconsin Council 40**

AFSCME, AFL-CIO

8033 Excelsior Drive, Suite B Madison, Wisconsin 53717-1903 Phone: 608 836-4040 Fax: 608 836-4444 Michael Murphy
President
Robert W. Lyons
Executive Director

DATE:

August 2001

TO:

Senator Roger Breske, Chair, and Members of the Senate Insurance, Tourism and

**Transportation Committee** 

FROM:

Scott Sharp and Jim Garity, AFSCME Council 40

RE:

SB-56, Snowplow Following Distance

We appreciate the opportunity to support this legislation and comment on the circumstances that warrant its approval and passage.

It goes without saying that winter ice and snow removal is a stressful and potentially hazardous part of the occupational duties of street and highway workers. The problems associated with such operations have grown steadily worse over the years, with accidents becoming commonplace.

We can personally address some of the issues involved in these accidents, the equipment downtime they involve, and the diversion of personnel resources they represent. Between us, we have decades of snow removal experience.

But we want to keep the focus on public safety. No snowplow operator wants to hit a motorist. Snowplows are mini-freight trains in their own way, possessing size and momentum sufficient to cause great harm. Add to that low visibility and distractions during operation, and we have a very challenging environment.

It is essential that members of the driving public understand that we must be given wide berth and that we cannot see them if they are within our rear "blind zone".

As an aid to discussion, we have attached three pages from DOT's 1999-2000 snowplow crash report. These are the most recent numbers available on season totals, frequency breakdown, and categorized crashes. These totals involve only county plows on state highways. We can assure you that the totals involving other roads and units of government are even higher in number, although they may be less serious due to lower speeds on streets and secondary roads.

In the categorized accidents one can see that incidents involving following distance are readily apparent. "Rear-ending" accounts for 21 accidents. Same direction slides account for 8 accidents. Even the 5 backup accidents probably involve a combination of following distance and poor visibility.





Senate Insurance, Tourism & Transportation Committee August 2001 Page 2

Our organization and its street and highway members would deeply appreciate anything you could do to solve this problem. We believe that SB-56 will promote needed changes in the rules of the road and will encourage driver education about winter operations. We urge support and approval of the bill. We are available to answer questions about winter highway operations.

Thank you.

SS/JG/mmb

Attachments

cc: Sandra Bloomfield (with attachments)

# 1999-2000 SNOWPLOW CRASHES

## FREQUENCY BREAKDOWN

CATEGORIZED CRASHES	Total Crashes	Crashed
(Crashes where explanation was provided by county	(Minus	Not
and/or was detailed on the accident report)	Duplicates)	Counted
Vehicle Rear Ended Snowplow	21	0
Broken Windshield On Other Vehicle	13	13
Snowplow Hit Fixed Object Or Threw Snow That Hit and Darmaged Fixed Object (Excluding Parked Vehicles)	c	
(Vehicle Hit Snowplow Wing (Same Direction)	200	n c
Vehicle Slid Into Snowplow (Same Direction)	0 00	
Snowplow Backed into Vehicle	2	<b>&gt;</b> C
Vehicle Slid Into Snowplow (Opposite Direction)	3	
Snowplow Hit Parked Car	2	
Vehicle Backed Into Snowplow	2	
Car Hit Snowplow Head On	2	
Vehicle Hit Snowplow Mirror		
Snowplaw Hit Mirrar On Fixed Object		
Vehicle tit Parked Snowplow		C
Snowplow Hit Deer	_	•
Snowplow Rallover	2	6
Snowplow Hit Bike		lo
Wing Dug tato Embankment		)  -
Broke Wing While Lowering It		-
Vehicle Turned Into Snowplow	2	
Car Hit Snowplow White Snowplow Making U-Tum		ì
Vehicle Hit Snowplaw Wing (Opposite Direction)	0	ò
SUB-TOTAL	98	28

(Crashes where no explanation was given by county or no accident report could be found in the database or no accident report (MV4000) was filed)  Moving - Sideswipe Same Direction		
or no accident report could be found in the database or no accident report (MV4000) was filed) Moving - Sideswipe Same Direction		
or no accident report (MV4000) was filed) Moving - Sideswipe Same Direction	(Minus	Not
Moving - Sideswipe Same Direction	Duplicates)	Counted
	3	C
Backing - Rear End	3	
Threw Snow/Ice/Sand - Sideswipe Opposite Direction	3	900
Hit Fixed Object - Unknown	,	2
Moving - Rear end	2	7 0
Moving - Unknown	-	
Backing - Unknown	2	
Backing - Angle		
Hit Fixed Object - Angle		0 1
Moving - Angle		- 0
Moving - Head On	- c	
Moving - Sideswipe Opposite Direction		
Hit Parked Car - Sideswipe Same Direction		
Ran Off Road - Sideswipe Same Direction		
Threw Snow/Ice/Sand - No Collision		0 -
SUB-TOTAL	24	

TOTAL CRASHES ALL DISTRICTS

12

## only County Places

## 1999-2000 WINTER SEASON - SNOWPLOW CRASHES

	TOTALS
ICDASHES REPORTED BY COUNTY ON QUESTIONNAIRE	88
CRASHES FOLIND DURING DMV ACCIDENT DATABASE SEARCH	51
CLISA (A+R)	139
MINUS DUPLICATES (CRASHES REPORTED BY COUNTY AND ALSO IN DMV DATABASE)	29
	( 110 /
MAINUS INCIDENTS NOT COUNTED (REPORTED BY COUNTY BUT DID	- Comments of
NOT INVOLVE A COLLISION WITH ANOTHER VEHICLE)	35
	CRASHES REPORTED BY COUNTY ON QUESTIONNAIRE CRASHES FOUND DURING DMV ACCIDENT DATABASE SEARCH SUM (A+B) MINUS DUPLICATES (CRASHES REPORTED BY COUNTY AND ALSO IN DMV DATABASE) SUM (C-D) MINUS INCIDENTS NOT COUNTED (REPORTED BY COUNTY BUT DID NOT INVOLVE A COLLISION WITH ANOTHER VEHICLE)

Ġ	TOTALS SNOWPLOW CRASHES ON STATE HIGHWAYS DURING THE 1999-2000 WINTER SEASON	75
	Most prevalent crash type => Snowplow rear ended by other vehicle	
	Landy, trun rands not vict	luded.
Par	ameters:  All crashes happened on state trunk highways and involved county snowplows	
1	Specified only vehicles with Municipal license plates	
2	Covered those crashes officially reported to law enforcement agencies on form MV4000 -	
<u>ح</u>	Counted crashes documented by county highway departments that were not officially reported	
5	Reporting Period October 1, 1999 to June 1, 2000	
6	Specified truck types 3=Utility Truck	
Ü	4=Straight Truck (insert Truck), and	
	19=Snowplows	
7	Assumed no "dry" road conditions	
8	Only crashes where a collision was recorded were counted	
<u>Cra</u>	ish List Comparison Established	
1	Crashes that were found during the MV4000 database search that were also reported by the Counties were only counted once	
2	Crashes that were reported by counties where no collision was recorded were not counted  (Not counted: broken windows due to thrown snow, snowplow rollover, snowplow hit fixed object, snowplow broke wing while lowering, snowplow wing dug into embankment, snowplow hit deer)	

**Categorized Crashes** 

1 When the county provided detail of the crash, then the crashes were categorized to give "added value"

2 All crashes reported on accident report (MV4000) were categorized

**Un-Categorized Crashes** 

! County only provided severity, type, and manner of crash as specified on form

Do other into on crash

Narrative

# 1999-2000 SNOWPLOW CRASHES

CATEGORIZED CRASHES	TOTAL	COUNTED	NOT	NOT	NOT COUNTED	NOT	NOT	NOT	NOT	NOT COUNTED TOTA
(Crashes where explanation was provided by county and/or was detailed on the accident report)	ALL	δ	6	ន	<b>7</b> 0	ş	90		č	STOIGTSIG LIA
Vehicle Hit Snownlow Mirror									3	ALL DISTRICTS
Snowplow Hit Mirror On Fixed Object	- -	-								
Snowplow Hit Fixed Object Or Threw Snow That Hit and Damaged Fixed Object (Excluding Parked										
Vehicle Hit Parked Snownlow	6	6	3				Ю.			ტ
Snowplow Hit Parked Car	- 6									
Broken Windshield On Other Vehicle	13		0							
Snowplow Hit Deer	-	-		1		1	2			13
Snowplow Rollover	2	2								
Vehicle Slid Into Snowplow (Same Direction)	8									2
Vehicle Slid Into Snowplow (Opposite Direction)	3									
Vehicle Hit Snowplow Wing (Opposite Direction)				ŀ		1				
Vehicle Hit Snowplow Wing (Same Direction)	6					1	1			
Snowplow Hit Bike	-									
Vehicle Backed Into Snowplow	2						Ī			
Snowplow Backed Into Vehicle	2			+						
Vehicle Rear Ended Snowplow	27			+						
Wing Dug Into Embankment	-	ľ		1						
Broke Wing While Lowering It	-	ŀ		1						
Vehicle Turned Into Snowplow	6	1		Ī						-
Car Hit Snowplow While Snowplow Making 11-Tum				1						
Car Hit Snowplow Head On	2			ł			1			
SUBTOTAL		F	13							
			<b>,</b>	2	9	0	9	٥	۰	28
UN-CATEGORIZED CRASHES										
(Crashes where no explanation was given by county or										
no accident report could be found in the database or no accident report was filed)	TOTAL	NOT	TON	TON	TON	Ņ	TON	TON	TON	
Backing - Angle	,		0318000	COONIED	COUNIED	COUNTED	COUNTED	COUNTED	COUNTED	NOT COUNTED TOTAL
Backing - Rear End	- 6									
Backing - Unknown	,			1			1			
Hit Fixed Object - Angle				+						
Hit Fixed object - Unknown	2			-			1			<b>~</b>
Moving - Angle	-									2
Moving - Head on	0			ł			1			
Moving - Rear end	2						1			
Moving - Sideswipe Same Direction	3						1			
Moving - Sideswipe Opposite Direction	-						1		1	
Moving - Unknown	2					1	+			
Hit Parked Car - Sideswipe Same Direction	-			1						
Ran Off Road - Sideswipe Same Direction	-									
Threw Snow/Ice/Sand - No Collision	,			-						
I hrew Snow/Ice/Sand - Sideswipe Opposite Direction	3	3					ľ			
SUBTOTAL	24	3	0	7	0	ŀ	•	C		2
TOTAL	110	14	12	4	0	-	5	c		,
		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR					,	è	,	33

Testimony of Scott Sharp In Support of Senate Bill 56 Senate Insurance, Tourism & Transportation Committee August 29, 2001

Thank you for this opportunity to express my wholehearted support for Senate Bill 56.

I am Scott Sharp and I have seen more excuses for this bill during my 24 years with the City of Racine's Public Works Department than I care to admit. Even in the most extreme conditions, it seems motorists these days are driving much faster, following much more closely and suffering more acutely from "King of the Road" syndrome.

And since I also chair the statewide Street and Highway Workers Advisory Committee of the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Council 40, I know these are not isolated instances in the City of Racine. As we tragically witnessed in a rash of accidents that occurred last winter, when a vehicle and a snowplow collide it's usually the occupants of the vehicle who lose.

Some people have characterized the creation of the 200-foot following distance behind a plow as an attempt to legislate common sense. To me, this is no different from laws that require motorists to get out of the way of emergency vehicles or slow down in construction zones. Yes, it is legislating common sense, but sometimes passing a law and educating the public about the dangers and consequences of a particular behavior are necessary. Seat belt and drunk driving laws are good examples.

Other people say there has been no demonstrated need for such a law. A recent study by the state Department of Transportation revealed that last winter there were 75 documented collisions between motor vehicles and snowplows. And this is just on state highways, which represent only 10% of the roadway mileage in Wisconsin.

Of those crashes included in the DOT study, the most common causes were rear-end collisions and sideswipes of vehicles traveling in the same direction. This will not come as a surprise to my colleagues, who are all too familiar with motorists tailgating them or attempting to pass in the worst of conditions.

I would urge this committee to follow the action of your colleagues in the Assembly and pass this bill. Then we can move on with this important public safety issue that causes thousands of dollars in damage each year and usually claims a couple of lives. Even though snowplow workers like me generally fare better than the other driver, I can tell you firsthand that the emotional scars from these types of accidents take years to heal, if they do indeed heal.

Thank you for this opportunity to express my support for SB 56.



## MEMORANDUM

TO:

The Honorable Members of the Senate Committee on Insurance, Tourism

and Transportation

FROM:

Allison Kujawa Legislative Associate

**DATE:** 

August 29, 2001

**SUBJECT:** 

Support for Senate Bill 56

The Wisconsin Counties Association (WCA) strongly supports Senate Bill 56 (SB 56). SB 56 will provide for safer roads during Wisconsin's winters. Currently, there is no law that requires drivers to travel a safe distance behind a snowplow. Requiring that no person can follow closer than 200 feet behind a snowplow that is in the act of plowing a highway having a posted speed of more than 35 miles an hour, will help avoid potential accidents that result when drivers follow too closely behind a snowplow and lose visibility in the cloud of spray that a snowplow kicks up.

A recent court case in Portage county, *Frostman V. State Farm Mutual Insurance Co.*, set a very dangerous precedent. The county was found liable for creating a snow cloud while in the act of snowplowing. The driver claimed the snow cloud impaired her vision when trying to pass the snowplow. Creating a legal "rule of the road" will help to limit counties' liability related to their snowplow activities.

SB 56 will allow counties to continue to do the difficult job of snow removal in adverse conditions during Wisconsin's winters without the concern that many drivers are following behind them at a dangerously close distance.

County highway departments have the very difficult task of maintaining safe roads during Wisconsin's winters. SB 56 will provide counties and other municipalities with the proper latitude to effectively carry out this public service, as well as providing standards that will result in safer roads. For these reasons WCA respectfully requests your positive action on SB 56.

Thank you for considering our comments.

## **Hearing Requests**

Date: 3/28/01		
Who: Sen. Plache	·	
Company:		
Address:	Phone #:	
Email:	Fax #:	
Relating to: Following S	Snowplows	
Hearing Scheduled   Where:	andra (1997) <del>andra (1997)</del> Tanana (1997) andra	
Notes:  • • •		
• • • Requestee notified □		



## KIMBERLY M. PLACHE

### STATE SENATOR • TWENTY FIRST SENATE DISTRICT

March 26, 2001

Sen. Roger Breske
Committee on Insurance, Tourism
And Transportation
Room 18 South - State Capitol
Madison WI 53707

Dear Sen. Breske:

I write to request that you hold a public hearing on Senate Bill 56, which relates to following a snowplow.

As you may recall from previous sessions, this bill would require persons following a snowplow in operation to remain at least 200 feet behind the plow.

Please schedule a public hearing on SB 56 at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerely,

Kimberly M. Plache

State Senator

KP:ja

## **Hearing Requests**

Date: 4/19/01					
who: Dennis Boyer	in the second			**************************************	
Company: AFSCME	78-A-A-0-A-0-A-0-A-0-A-0-A-0-A-0-A-0-A-0-	######################################		International Control of the Control	
Address:		Phone #:	836	-lelelele	
Email: dennished	iorus net	Fax #:	8310-	3333	
What: SB5le		n was a same			
Relating to: Snowplow	following				
Hearing Scheduled	Date:				
Where:					
Notes:		···	· .		
•					:
•					
Requestee notified	Date:				

## **Hearing Requests**

Phone #:
Fax #:
rghway Safety